ATL is a logic that allows to express statements about strategic abilities of agents. For example, formula "<<A>>G safe" says that the group of agents A can keep the system safe forever, regardless of what the other agents decide to do. Since its inception, several syntactic and semantic variants of ATL have been proposed. In this informal talk I will discuss some formal differences between these variants. First, we will compare validity sets generated by each semantics; then, we will compare syntactic variants through their expressive power.