



## Artificial Intelligence

### – Sheet 2: Search –

Date: 13. April 2011

#### Exercise 1 (4 Points, Optimality of Uniform-cost - and Breadth-first search)

Prove that *uniform-cost search* (with arbitrary step costs) and *breadth-first search* with constant step costs are **optimal** when used with the Graph-Search algorithm.

Points:

#### Exercise 2 (6 Points, Comparison of search algorithms)

Consider a finite tree of depth  $d + 1$  and branching factor  $b$ . Suppose the shallowest goal node is at depth  $g \leq d$  ( $g$  is given and fixed). What is the **minimal** and **maximal** number of nodes that might be generated by

\_\_\_\_\_ of 29

- (1) depth-first search with depth bound equal to  $d$ .
- (2) breadth-first search?
- (3) depth-first iterative deepening search?

Group / Tutor:

#### Exercise 3 (6 Points, Best-first search)

We consider Best-first search with Tree-search as underlying search engine. We assume as usual a finite branching factor and that step costs are higher than a fixed constant.

Name(s) & Matr. no.:

- (a) **Prove or disprove** the following two statements with respect to : (1) Best-first search is optimal; (2) best-first search is complete.
- (b) We now consider Best-first search together with an *admissible* heuristics; i.e.  $f(n) := h(n)$  where  $h$  is admissible. Reconsider the statements in (a).

#### Exercise 4 (4 Points, A\* search)

Trace the operation of  $A^*$  search applied to the problem of getting to *Bucharest* from *Lugoj* using the *straight-line distance heuristic* (the state space and the heuristic are given in Example 2.6). That is, show in which order nodes are *expanded*, and state the costs of the actual optimal path.

#### Exercise 5 (3 Points, Admissibility and Graph-search)

Show that admissibility of  $h$  is insufficient to ensure that  $A^*$  is optimal together with Graph-search.

#### Exercise 6 (6 Points, consistency and admissibility)

Let  $h$  be a heuristic function and  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$  the evaluation function of  $A^*$  search. **Prove or disprove** the following statements (give proofs or counterexamples):

1. Admissibility of  $h$  implies consistency of  $h$ .
2. Consistency of  $h$  implies admissibility of  $h$ .

To be submitted:

27. April 2011  
before class