



Artificial Intelligence – Sheet 6: Sentential Logic and Hoare Calculus –

Date: 01. June 2011

Exercise 1 (5 Points, Boolean connectives)

In the lecture, we have introduced the Boolean connectives $C := \{\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow\}$.

- (a) (2 Points) Show that the Boolean connectives $\{\neg, \wedge\}$ are sufficient. That is, show that each connective from C can be defined from just these two.
- (b) (3 Points) Consider the binary Boolean function $| : \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined by

$$(1, 1) \mapsto 0, \quad (0, 1) \mapsto 1, \quad (1, 0) \mapsto 1, \quad (0, 0) \mapsto 1$$

Is it possible to define all Boolean connectives from C just by “|” ? Prove or disprove!

Points:

_____ of 28

Group/Tutor:

Name(s) & Matr. no.:

Exercise 2 (7 Points, Resolution)

- (a) (4 points) Given the description below, can you prove - *using resolution* - that the unicorn is mythical? How about magical? Horned? Explain your proceeding!

If the unicorn is mythical, then it is immortal, but if it is not mythical, then it is a mortal mammal. If the unicorn is immortal *or* a mammal, then it is horned. The unicorn is magical if it is horned.

- (b) (3 points) Consider now a slightly modified description:

If the unicorn is mythical, then it is immortal, but if it is not mythical, then it is a mortal mammal. If the unicorn is *either* immortal *or* a mammal, then it is horned. The unicorn is magical if it is horned.

Prove that nothing can be said about the unicorn.

Exercise 3 (5 Points, Hilbert Calculus)

- (a) (3 points) Use the Hilbert calculus from Definition 4.12 to prove the formula (schema) $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$.
- (b) (2 points) Consider the theory $T = \{(c \rightarrow d), ((d \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)), (c \vee d), b, a\}$.
 1. Prove that $T \vdash c$ by means of the Hilbert calculus from Definition 4.12.
 2. Prove that $T \models c$. (It is not allowed to use the completeness result of the calculus!)

(Explain all steps!)

To be submitted:

22. June 2011
before class



Exercise 4 (5 Points, Partial correctness)

Consider the following programs and use the annotation calculus to prove that the program is *partially* correct regarding the given pre and postconditions.

(a)

```
if (x > y) {
  z := x;
} else {
  z := y
}
```

Precondition \top , postcondition $z = \max\{x, y\}$.

(b)

```
z := 0;
while x > 0 {
  z := z + x;
  x := x - 1
}
```

Precondition $x = x_0 \wedge x \geq 0$, postcondition $z = \frac{x_0(x_0+1)}{2}$.

(Note, that also the proof obligations have to be verified!)

Exercise 5 (3 Points, Total correctness)

Consider the following program `Mult`:

```
a := 0;
z := 0;
while (a ≠ y) {
  z := z + x;
  a := a + 1
}
```

Use the annotation calculus to prove that $\vdash^t \{y \geq 0\} \text{Mult} \{z = xy\}$

Exercise 6 (3 Points, Weakest precondition)

Calculate the weakest precondition of the following program with respect to the postcondition $\{4 \leq y < 22\}$.

```
x := 3y;
if (x < 10) {
  y := 3x - 9
} else {
  y := 2x + 1
};
y := y - 5
```